**Problem #1**:

*Exercise 4 from section 8.4 of ITSL textbook*

This question relates to the plots in Figure 8.12 below:



1. Sketch the tree corresponding to the partition of the predictor space illustrated in the left-hand panel of Figure 8.12. The numbers inside the boxes indicate the mean of Y within each region.

***Answer:***

5

15

3

10

0

1. Create a diagram similar to the left-hand panel of Figure 8.12, using the tree illustrated in the right-hand panel of the same figure. You should divide up the predictor space into the correct regions, and indicate the mean for each region.

***Answer:***

2

1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2.49 | | |
| -1.06 | 0.21 | |
| -1.8 | | 0.63 |

0

1

**Problem #2**:

*Exercise 5 from section 8.4 of ITSL textbook*

Suppose we produce ten boostrapped samples from a data set containing red and green classes. We then apply a classification tree to each boostrapped sample and, for a specific value of , produce 10 estimates of :

0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.2, 0.55, 0.6, 0.6, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75

There are two common ways to combine these results together into a single class prediction. One is the majority vote approach discussed in this chapter. The second approach is to classify based on the average probability. In this example, what is the final classification under each of these two approaches?

***Answer:***

Majority Vote Approach:

Using the majority vote approach, we first classify each of the 10 estimates into “red” or “green” classes using a cut-off to

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Prediction ID** | **Prediction** | **Class-prediction** |
| 1 | 0.1 | Green |
| 2 | 0.15 | Green |
| 3 | 0.2 | Green |
| 4 | 0.2 | Green |
| 5 | 0.55 | Red |
| 6 | 0.6 | Red |
| 7 | 0.6 | Red |
| 8 | 0.65 | Red |
| 9 | 0.7 | Red |
| 10 | 0.75 | Red |

From the table above, the majority of predictions (6 of the 10) are classified into the “red” class. Therefore based on the “majority vote” approach, the final classification class would be the “red” class.

Average Probability Approach:


The average probability of the 10 estimates is:

Given the average probability is 0.45 (which is ≤0.5), the final classification class would be the “green” class.

**Problem #3**:

*Exercise 7 from section 8.4 of ITSL textbook*

In the lab, we applied random forests to the “Boston” dataset using mtry=6 and using ntree=25 and ntree=500. Create a plot displaying the test error resulting from random forests on this data set for a more comprehensive range of values for mtry and ntree. You can model your plot after Figure 8.10. Describe the results obtained.

***Answer:***

Splitting the Boston dataset into equal sized training and testing sets (253 observations each), I ran a random forest to predict outcome “medv” with the number of candidate variables at each split-node (“mtry” parameter) set to 2,4,6,8,10, and 12 with the number of bootstrapped trees in each random forest set to 25 through 500 increased in one integer increments. The resulting test MSE was recovered for each model and is plotted above. As we can see above, as we begin to increase the mtry parameter starting at 2 the test MSE generally decreases. As we increase the mtry parameter from 6 forward the test MSE generally increases again. For each trend line with the “mtry” parameter set constant, the MSE begins to level-out around a constant variance as the number of trees increases. The trend line with mtry=6 appears to fairly consistently have the lowest test MSE as the number of trees in the forest increases. As the number of trees fit in each forest approaches 500, for the trend line with mtry=6 the MSE fluctuates slightly around test MSE≈11.5.

*R-code:*

################

## Problem #3 ##

################

library(randomForest)

library(MASS)

data(Boston)

set.seed(1)

train <- sample(1:nrow(Boston), nrow(Boston)/2)

boston.test <- Boston [-train ,"medv"]

df <- matrix(NA, nrow=476, ncol=13)

for(num\_var in 1:13){

for(num\_tree in 25:500){

print(num\_tree)

rf <- randomForest(medv~., data=Boston, subset=train, mtry=num\_var, ntree=num\_tree)

yhat <- predict(rf, newdata=Boston[-train,])

df[num\_tree-24, num\_var] <- mean((yhat - boston.test)^2)

rm(rf, yhat)

}

}

df <- data.frame(df)

colnames(df) <- c("v1", "v2", "v3", "v4", "v5", "v6", "v7", "v8", "v9", "v10", "v11", "v12", "v13")

df$num\_trees <- c(25:500)

summary(df)

plot(df$num\_trees, df$v2, type='l', col="red", lwd=1, xlab="number of trees", ylab="Test Mean Squared Error", ylim=c(9,17))

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v4, type='l', col="green", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v6, type='l', col="blue", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v8, type='l', col="orange", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v10, type='l', col="yellow", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v12, type='l', col="purple", lwd=1)

legend(x=450,y=11, c("mtry=2", "mtry=4", "mtry=6", "mtry=8", "mtry=10", "mtry=12"), lty=c(1,1,1,1,1,1), col=c("red","green","blue","orange","yellow","purple"))

plot(df$num\_trees, df$v1, type='l', col="red", lwd=1, xlab="number of trees", ylab="Mean Squared Error", ylim=c(9,26))

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v2, type='l', col="green", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v3, type='l', col="blue", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v4, type='l', col="orange", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v5, type='l', col="yellow", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v6, type='l', col="purple", lwd=1)

lines(df$num\_trees, df$v7, type='l', col="brown", lwd=1)

legend(x=450,y=20.5, c("mtry=1", "mtry=2", "mtry=3", "mtry=4", "mtry=5", "mtry=6", "mtry=7"), lty=c(1,1,1,1,1,1,1), col=c("red","green","blue","orange","yellow","purple","brown"))

**Problem #4**:

*Exercise 8 from section 8.4 of ITSL textbook*

In the lab, a classification tree was applied to the “Carseats” data set after converting Sales into a qualitative response variable. Now we will see to predict Sales using regression trees and related approaches, treating the response as a quantitative variable.

1. Split the data set into a training set and test set.

***Answer:***

*R-code:*


train\_id <- sample(1:nrow(Carseats), nrow(Carseats)/2)

train\_df <- Carseats[train\_id,]

test\_df <- Carseats[-train\_id,]

1. Fit a regression tree to the training set. Plot the tree and interpret the results. What test MSE do you obtain?

***Answer:***




Looking at the plotted tree above, the tree has a maximum depth of six levels from the root-node. Additionally, the tree has a total of 18 terminal nodes. The test MSE was estimated to be 4.148897.

1. Use cross-validation in order to determine the optimal level of tree complexity. Does pruning the tree improve the test MSE?


***Answer:***

To prune the tree, we need to decide what the optimal tree size is. The “cv.tree” function was applied on the regression tree fit in part (b) to perform cross-validation to determine the optimal tree complexity. We then plot the cross-validated test error as a function of the size of the tree. The plot is shown below:



From the plot above, the cross-validation analysis on the training data revealed that the size of the tree with the lowest cross-validation error was with size (i.e. number of terminal nodes) equal to 8 (Cross-validated deviance= 1039.212).

We then fit the best possible tree (lowest training MSE) on the entire training set that has 8 terminal nodes. The resulting tree with 8 terminal nodes is shown below:



The tree above was then fit to the testing data, resulting in a test MSE= 5.09085. Comparing the test MSE on the pruned tree to the test MSE from part (b) (test MSE=4.148897), we can see pruning the tree did not improve the test MSE but rather increased the test MSE.

1. Use the bagging approach in order to analyze this data. What test MSE do you obtain? Use the “importance()” function to determine which variables are most important.

***Answer:***

For the bagging approach, a total of 1000 bootstrapped trees were fit. The resulting test MSE obtained from fitting the resulting bagging model on the testing data was test MSE= 2.572379. We can see that this test MSE is quite an improvement over both the pruned tree (test MSE=5.09085) and the naively fit single regression tree (test MSE=4.148897).

The output of the “importance” function on the resulting bagging model is shown below:

> importance(bag)

IncNodePurity

CompPrice 135.264013

Income 78.893873

Advertising 125.490578

Population 61.265876

Price 505.614387

ShelveLoc 320.127726

Age 194.010348

Education 40.940231

Urban 9.175221


US 15.347388

From the output above, we can see that the variable “Price” is “most important” resulting in the largest mean decrease in training RSS, followed by the variable “ShelveLoc” being “second most important”. The variable “Urban” had the lowest mean decrease in training RSS and would be considered least important.

1. Use random forests to analyze the data. What test MSE do you obtain? Use the “importance()” function to determine which variables are most important. Describe the effect of “m”, the number of variables considered at each split, on the error rate obtained.

***Answer:***

10 different Random Forest models were fit, increasing the “mtry” parameter from 1 to 10 in one unit increments. For each Random Forest model, 1000 boostrapped trees were fit. The 10 Random Forest models fit on the training data were then tested on the test data and a test MSE was recovered. The test MSE for the 10 models is shown below:



Looking at the plot above, as we vary the “mtry” parameter from 1 to 10 the test MSE initially decreases quite sharply, and begins to level out around “mtry”=5. With “mtry” set between 6 and 10 there isn’t a substantial amount of change in the test MSE.

The Random Forest model with the lowest test MSE was with the “mtry” parameter set to 10 (test MSE=2.571475). This corresponds to taking a “random subset” of size 10 of the 10 possible predictors at each node-split in each tree, and choosing the variable and split value that most minimizes the training MSE. With the “mtry” parameter set to 10, this actually corresponds to the bagging model in part (d) (choosing the variable and split value that most minimizes the training MSE among ALL of the possible 10 predictors).

Even though the best possible Random Forest model corresponds to the bagging model, just so we don’t repeat describing the same results from part (d) let us instead explore the results of the Random Forest model with the second-best test MSE. This would be the Random Forest with “mtry” set to 8 (test MSE=2.594034). For the Random Forest model with mtry=8, the “importance” function produced the following output:

IncNodePurity

CompPrice 132.592355

Income 82.805990

Advertising 128.739247

Population 65.283982

Price 491.137416

ShelveLoc 308.149711

Age 197.544958

Education 43.752915

Urban 9.827567

US 17.355601

Similar to the results of the “importance” analysis of the bagging model, for the Random Forest model the variable “Price” is “most important” resulting in the largest mean decrease in training RSS, followed by the variable “ShelveLoc” being “second most important”. The variable “Urban” had the lowest mean decrease in training RSS and would be considered least important.

*R-code:*

################

## Problem #4 ##

################

set.seed(1)

library(tree)

library(randomForest)

library(ISLR)

data(Carseats)

summary(Carseats)

############

## Part A ##

############

train\_id <- sample(1:nrow(Carseats), nrow(Carseats)/2)

train\_df <- Carseats[train\_id,]

test\_df <- Carseats[-train\_id,]

############

## Part B ##

############

tree.carseats <- tree(Sales~., data=train\_df)

summary(tree.carseats)

plot(tree.carseats)

text(tree.carseats, pretty=0.95)

yhat <- predict(tree.carseats, newdata=test\_df)

MSE <- mean((yhat - test\_df$Sales)^2)

############

## Part C ##

############

cv.carseats <- cv.tree(tree.carseats)

cv.carseats

plot(cv.carseats$size, cv.carseats$dev, type="b")

#plot(cv.carseats$k, cv.carseats$dev, type="b")

prune.carseats <- prune.tree(tree.carseats, best=8)

plot(prune.carseats)

text(prune.carseats, pretty=0)

yhat\_prune <- predict(prune.carseats, newdata=test\_df)

MSE\_prune <- mean((yhat\_prune - test\_df$Sales)^2)

############

## Part D ##

############

bag <- randomForest(Sales~., data=train\_df, mtry=10, ntree=1000)

importance(bag)

yhat\_bag <- predict(bag, newdata=test\_df)

MSE\_bag <- mean((yhat\_bag - test\_df$Sales)^2)

############

## Part E ##

############

MSE\_vector <- rep(NA, 10)

for(i in 1:10){

rf <- randomForest(Sales~., data=train\_df, mtry=i, ntree=1000)

if(i==8){

print(importance(rf))

}

yhat\_rf <- predict(rf, newdata=test\_df)

MSE\_vector[i] <- mean((yhat\_rf - test\_df$Sales)^2)

rm(rf, yhat\_rf)

}

MSE\_vector

mtry <- c(1:10)

plot(x=mtry, y=MSE\_vector, xlab="'mtry' parameter", ylab="test MSE")

**Problem #5**:

*Exercise 9 from section 8.4 of ITSL textbook*

This problem involves the “OJ” data set which is part of the “ISLR” package.

1. Create a training set containing a random sample of 800 observations, and a test set containing the remaining observations.

***Answer:***

*R-code:*

train\_id <- sample(1:nrow(OJ), 800)

train\_df <- OJ[train\_id,]

test\_df <- OJ[-train\_id,]

1. Fit a tree to the training data, with “Purchase” as the response and the other variables as predictors. Use the “summary()” function to produce summary statistics about the tree, and describe the results obtained. What is the training error rate? How many terminal nodes does the tree have?

***Answer:***

The output of the “summary()” function is below:

Classification tree:

tree(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = train\_df)

Variables actually used in tree construction:

[1] "LoyalCH" "PriceDiff" "SpecialCH" "ListPriceDiff"

Number of terminal nodes: 8

Residual mean deviance: 0.7305 = 578.6 / 792

Misclassification error rate: 0.165 = 132 / 800

We can see above that of the 17 possible predictors, the constructed tree uses only 4 of these predictors (variables “LovalCH”, “PriceDiff”, “SpecialCH”, and “ListPriceDiff”). The constructed tree has a residual mean deviance of 0.7305, a total of 8 terminal nodes and has a training error (misclassification rate) of 16.5%.

1. Type in the name of the tree object in order to get a detailed text output. Pick one of the terminal nodes, and interpret the information displayed.

***Answer:***

The R output of printing the tree object is below:

node), split, n, deviance, yval, (yprob)

\* denotes terminal node

1) root 800 1064.00 CH ( 0.61750 0.38250 )

2) LoyalCH < 0.508643 350 409.30 MM ( 0.27143 0.72857 )

4) LoyalCH < 0.264232 166 122.10 MM ( 0.12048 0.87952 )

8) LoyalCH < 0.0356415 57 10.07 MM ( 0.01754 0.98246 ) \*

9) LoyalCH > 0.0356415 109 100.90 MM ( 0.17431 0.82569 ) \*

5) LoyalCH > 0.264232 184 248.80 MM ( 0.40761 0.59239 )

10) PriceDiff < 0.195 83 91.66 MM ( 0.24096 0.75904 )

20) SpecialCH < 0.5 70 60.89 MM ( 0.15714 0.84286 ) \*

21) SpecialCH > 0.5 13 16.05 CH ( 0.69231 0.30769 ) \*

11) PriceDiff > 0.195 101 139.20 CH ( 0.54455 0.45545 ) \*

3) LoyalCH > 0.508643 450 318.10 CH ( 0.88667 0.11333 )

6) LoyalCH < 0.764572 172 188.90 CH ( 0.76163 0.23837 )

12) ListPriceDiff < 0.235 70 95.61 CH ( 0.57143 0.42857 ) \*


13) ListPriceDiff > 0.235 102 69.76 CH ( 0.89216 0.10784 ) \*

7) LoyalCH > 0.764572 278 86.14 CH ( 0.96403 0.03597 ) \*

Let us choose terminal node “8” to interpret in the output above. The split criterion for observations ending at terminal node “8” is based on the variable “LoyalCH” being <0.0356415. The number of observations ending at node “8” are 57 observations with an overall deviance of 10.07 and a “majority vote” prediction for this node being outcome “MM”. In the training data, approximately 1.8% of the 57 observations ending at node “8” have outcome “CH” with the remaining 98.2% having outcome “MM”.

1. Create a plot of the tree, and interpret the results.

***Answer:***



From the tree above, we can see the predictor “LoyalCH” is a very important predictor of the outcome “Purchase” given it is the variable split at the root-node. In fact, the next two split nodes are also based on the “LoyalCH” variable, also indicating the importance of “LoyalCH” in predicting “Purchase”.

1. Predict the response on the test data and produce a confusion matrix comparing the test labels to the predicted test labels. What is the test error rate?

***Answer:***

Predicting the response on the test data, the resulting confusion matrix is shown below:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Actual “Purchase” | | |
| Predicted “Purchase” |  | **CH** | **MM** |
| **CH** | 147 | 49 |
| **MM** | 12 | 62 |

From the confusion matrix and the calculation above, the test error rate is approximately 22.59%.

1. Apply the “cv.tree()” function to the training set in order to determine the optimal tree size.

***Answer:***

*R-code:*


cv.OJ <- cv.tree(tree.OJ, FUN=prune.misclass)

cv.OJ

1. Produce a plot with tree size on the x-axis and cross-validated classification error rate on the y-axis.

***Answer:***

The resulting plot is below:



1. Which tree size corresponds to the lowest cross-validated classification error rate?


***Answer:***

The lowest cross-validated deviance is 156. From the plot in part (g) we can see there are in fact several trees that share this lowest cross-validated deviance (trees with size 2 through 8). Therefore we will choose the least complex tree with this lowest deviance, which would be a tree of size 2 (i.e. two terminal nodes).

1. Produce a pruned tree corresponding to the optimal tree size obtained using cross-validation. If cross-validation does not lead to selection of pruned tree, then create a pruned tree with five terminal noes.

***Answer:***

The plot of the resulting pruned tree with two terminal nodes is shown below:



1. Compare the training error rates between the pruned and unpruned trees. Which is higher?

***Answer:***

The confusion matrix for the training error for the unpruned tree is:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Actual “Purchase” | | |
| Predicted “Purchase” |  | **CH** | **MM** |
| **CH** | 463 | 101 |
| **MM** | 31 | 205 |

With training error rate:

The confusion matrix for the training error for the pruned tree is:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Actual “Purchase” | | |
| Predicted “Purchase” |  | **CH** | **MM** |
| **CH** | 399 | 51 |
| **MM** | 95 | 255 |

With training error rate:

The training error rate for the unpruned tree was 16.5%, with the training error rate for the pruned tree being 18.25%, making the training error rate for the pruned tree higher.

1. Compare the test error rates between the pruned and unpruned trees. Which is higher?

***Answer:***

The confusion matrix for the testing error for the unpruned tree is:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Actual “Purchase” | | |
| Predicted “Purchase” |  | **CH** | **MM** |
| **CH** | 147 | 49 |
| **MM** | 12 | 62 |

With testing error rate:

The confusion matrix for the testing error for the pruned tree is:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Actual “Purchase” | | |
| Predicted “Purchase” |  | **CH** | **MM** |
| **CH** | 119 | 30 |
| **MM** | 40 | 81 |

With testing error rate:

The testing error rate for the unpruned tree was 22.59%, with the testing error rate for the pruned tree being 25.93%, making the testing error rate for the pruned tree higher.

*R-code:*

################

## Problem #5 ##

################

set.seed(1)

library(tree)

library(randomForest)

library(ISLR)

data(OJ)

summary(OJ)

OJ$Purchase <- as.factor(OJ$Purchase)

############

## Part A ##

############

train\_id <- sample(1:nrow(OJ), 800)

train\_df <- OJ[train\_id,]

test\_df <- OJ[-train\_id,]

############

## Part B ##

############

tree.OJ <- tree(Purchase~., data=train\_df)

summary(tree.OJ)

yhat\_train <- predict(tree.OJ, newdata=train\_df, type="class")

table(yhat\_train, train\_df$Purchase)

############

## Part C ##

############

tree.OJ

############

## Part D ##

############

plot(tree.OJ)

text(tree.OJ, pretty=0)

############

## Part E ##

############

yhat\_test <- predict(tree.OJ, newdata=test\_df, type="class")

table(yhat\_test, test\_df$Purchase)

############

## Part F ##

############

cv.OJ <- cv.tree(tree.OJ, FUN=prune.misclass)

cv.OJ

############

## Part G ##

############

plot(cv.OJ$size, cv.OJ$dev, type="b")

############

## Part I ##

############

prune.OJ <- prune.tree(tree.OJ, best=2)

plot(prune.OJ)

text(prune.OJ, pretty=0)

############

## Part J ##

############

yhat\_full\_training <- predict(tree.OJ, newdata=train\_df, type="class")

table(yhat\_full\_training, train\_df$Purchase)

yhat\_pruned\_training <- predict(prune.OJ, newdata=train\_df, type="class")

table(yhat\_pruned\_training, train\_df$Purchase)

############

## Part K ##

############

yhat\_full\_test <- predict(tree.OJ, newdata=test\_df, type="class")

table(yhat\_full\_test, test\_df$Purchase)

yhat\_pruned\_test <- predict(prune.OJ, newdata=test\_df, type="class")

table(yhat\_pruned\_test, test\_df$Purchase)